



Stockwood and Hengrove and Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership Agenda

Date: Wednesday, 15 March 2017
Time: 7.00 pm - 9.00 pm
Place: Christ the Servant Church, Stockwood Road, BS14 8SP

1. Chairing Arrangements

2. Welcome, introductions and safety information

3. Apologies for absence

4. Declarations of Interest

To note any interests relevant to the consideration of items on this agenda.

**5. Minutes of the Meetings held on 15th June 2016 & 14th
December 2016 (Pages 4 - 14)**

To agree the Minutes of these Meeting as a correct record for signature by the Chair.

6. Matters arising

7. Public Forum

8. Future NP Arrangements (inc NP/Forum meetings) 7.15 pm
(Pages 15 - 25)

The Partnership is asked to note the content of the report.

9. Parks and Green Space Update (Pages 26 - 38) 7.45 pm

The Partnership is asked to note the content of the report.

- 10. NP Budget Update** (Pages 39 - 43) 8.00 pm
The Neighbourhood Committee is asked to note the Budget.
- 11. NP Budget Requests** (Pages 44 - 45) 8.10 pm
The Neighbourhood Committee is asked to approve the recommendations from the Wellbeing panel for the allocation of Wellbeing Funding.
- 12. Bristol Youth Links - Draft Commissioning Plan for future Targeted Youth Services** (Pages 46 - 56) 8.20 pm
The Partnership is asked to the content of the report.
- 13. Community Update** 8.50 pm
- 14. Any Other Business** 8.55 pm

Date of Next Meeting: Time Not Specified, Date Not Specified,

Contact – The local Neighbourhood Partnership (NP) Coordinator is:

Ariaf Hussain

Telephone : 0117 9223218

e-mail : ariaf.hussain@bristol.gov.uk

The Democratic Services Officer of the meeting is

Norman Cornthwaite

Telephone : 0117 9222390

e-mail : democratic.services@bristol.gov.uk

What is a Neighbourhood Partnership?

Neighbourhood Partnerships are the route to influence and improve services in the neighbourhood for residents, community organisations, service partners, and where local councillors make decisions about Bristol City Council business

How do I get involved?

Anyone who lives or works in the area can get involved in this Neighbourhood Partnership by:

- **Attending this meeting and commenting on any item of business on the agenda.** Everyone is welcome to attend this meeting and contribute.
- **Submit a Public Forum statement** to the clerk to the meeting (contact details above) **no later than noon on the working day before the meeting.** The statement will, where possible, be sent directly to members of the Partnership, and be printed and circulated at the meeting.

The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014

Any person attending a meeting must, so far as is practicable, be afforded reasonable facilities for reporting. This includes filming, photographing or making an audio recording of the proceedings.

Members of the public should therefore be aware that they may be filmed by others attending the meeting and that this is not within the authority's control. Oral commentary is not permitted during the meeting as this would be disruptive.



Stockwood and Hengrove and Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership 7.00 pm, 15 June 2016

Present:

* De-notes absent

Ward Councillors

- Councillor Barry Clark, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park;
- * Councillor Harriet Clough, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park;
- Councillor Steve Jones, Stockwood;
- * Councillor Tim Kent, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park;
- Councillor Graham Morris, Stockwood;

Partners

Representatives of people who live and work in the Neighbourhoods

- * Norma Davies
- Peter Goodwin
- Robert Harris
- Barbara Kirby
- Phyllis Watkins
- * Inspector Nigel Colston
- Trevor Hilliar
- Dave Reeve

Other Interested parties:

Ian Sinclair
Annette Sealy
John Sealy

Also in Attendance:-

Ariaf Hussain, Neighbourhood Partnership Co-ordinator
Norman Cornthwaite

1. Chairing Arrangements (1)

Agreed – that Councillor Barry Clark be elected Chair for the duration of the Meeting.

2. Welcome and Introductions, Apologies for Absence (2)

Apologies were received from Councillors Harriett Clough and Tim Kent, and Inspector Nigel Colston.

3. Minutes of the last Meeting (3)

Agreed – that the Minutes of the last Meeting of the N P are a correct record of the Meeting for signature by the Chair.

4. Declarations of Interest (4)

Councillor Clark – Agenda Item 8a Hengrove Community Centre.

5. Public Forum (5)

Nothing was received.

6. Equalities Monitoring (6)

Each attendee completed an Equalities Monitoring Form.

7. Neighbourhood Partnership AGM report 2016/17 including 2015/16 Financial Statement (7)

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

N P Name – It was suggested that the name should describe the area rather than be simply the Ward names. However the general view was that the Ward names continue to be used for the name of the N P and this was agreed.

Membership and Chairing Arrangements – It was agreed that an election for Resident Representatives be held in September. It was also agreed that further discussions on the Chairing Arrangements take place at September N P Meeting.

Financial Operating Framework – It was agreed that all budgets be used across the whole area rather than splitting each between the two Wards. This will enable the N P to focus on its priorities.

Resolved – (1) that the name of the N P be confirmed as Stockwood and Hengrove and Whitchurch Park;

(2) that an election for Resident Representatives be held in September and that further discussions on the Chairing Arrangements take place at September N P Meeting;

(3) that the N C and N P Terms of Reference be confirmed and that all budgets be used across the whole area rather than splitting each between the two Wards;

(4) that the Devolved Neighbourhood Budget be noted;

(5) that the meeting schedule including sub groups and forums be noted; and

(6) that the financial statement and expenditure from 2015/16 be noted.

8. N P Budget 2016/17 (8)

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

He drew everyone's attention to Agenda Item 8a and the application from Hengrove Community Centre for £8,000.

Trevor Hilliar raised two issues:

1. The wording of the Agreement and BCC and whether it attaches any conditions to the spending of the money.
2. Whether or not the S106 money must be spent within a mile of the site.

Ariaf Hussain stated that he would look into these issues and report back to the N P.

Resolved – (1) that the Budget be noted;

(2) that no changes are required;

(3) that allocations to the delivery of the N P Plan be agreed where appropriate; and

(4) that the application from Hengrove Community Centre for £8,000 be approved.

9. Wellbeing Report (9)

Ariaf Hussain introduced this report and summarised it for everyone.

In response to questions, Ian Sinclair explained that The ARK is a project run on a Friday for children from Reception to Year 6 and includes participation in creative arts and sports, etc. It is run to support local families and has 150 children on the books. This number is limited by staff numbers.

New Horizons is a new project aimed at older people especially those who are isolated. Transport is provided. It aims to stimulate them and help create friendships.

Ariaf Hussain drew everyone's attention to the two late applications.

Bridge Learning Campus – The applicant confirmed that the addresses of all families are recorded. Following a discussion it was agreed to fund £750.

Resolved – that the following amounts be granted:

Oasis Hub South Bristol - £500

Christ Church Stockwood The Servant - £1,500

Counterslip Baptist Church (The ARK) - £1,000

Counterslip Baptist Church (New Horizons) - £1,000

Bridge Learning Campus - £750

10 Highways Update 2015/16 (10)

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

Resolved – that the current position of the Local Traffic and Grant Funded highway schemes in Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park be noted.

11 Highways and Minor Works 2016/17 (11)

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

He highlighted the problems relating to Walsh Avenue scheme. He suggested that he contacts that Highways Manager to discuss the problems with the scheme and possible remedial works. He also intended to invite the Highways Manager to the next Meeting of the N P. This was agreed.

Stockwood Lane – He explained that although there had been criticism of the scheme by local residents the scheme had been built as was required.

He announced that he would be arranging a Meeting of the Highways Group in July/August to consider possible schemes.

Resolved – (1) that the allocation of the 2016/17 Highways Budget be confirmed;

(2) that potential future schemes be discussed at a Meeting of the Highways Group in July/August; and

(3) that the Minor Schemes requests be noted.

12 Neighbourhood Partnership Plan (12)

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

Resolved – (1) that the Neighbourhood Partnership further refine, improve and own the Plan; and

(2) that the Neighbourhood Partnership Plan be adopted following the input from the N P and other stakeholders.

13 N P Co-ordinator Report (13)

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

Concerns were raised about the clash of dates on 20th July 2016.

It was noted that an assessment of the parks and green spaces was required to identify improvements.

It was agreed that the N P become a Member of the Walking Alliance and that a request for a co-ordinator be circulated.

The details of the South Bristol Housing Zone were noted.

Resolved – that the updates and dates for diaries be noted.

14 Police and Community Safety Report (14)

Agreed – that the report be noted.

15 A O B (15)

There was none.

16 Date of Next Meeting (16)

Wednesday 28th September 2016 at 7.00 pm at Counterslip Church, Wells Road, BS14 9HT

Meeting ended at 9.20 pm.

Chair

Amount of available Wellbeing grant:				£X,XXX
Name of organisation	What's the funding for? Brief summary of project	How much requested	Amount agreed?	Comments and Conditions
XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXX	<i>*insert information here*</i> £xxx resolved by NC
XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXX	<i>*insert information here*</i> £xxx resolved by NC
Amount of Wellbeing Grant remaining:				£XXXX



**Stockwood, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park
Neighbourhood Partnership (N P) Meeting held at
7.00 pm on 14th December 2016
at Oasis John Williams Academy**

Present:

Ward Councillors

Councillors Barry Clark, Harriett Clough and Tim Kent (Hengrove and Whitchurch Park Ward);
and Councillors Steve Jones (Chair) (Stockwood Ward)

BCC Officers, Statutory Partners and Others

Ariaf Hussain	-	BCC, N P Co-ordinator
Norman Cornthwaite	-	BCC, Democratic Services
Abigail Stratford	-	BCC, Major Projects
Emily Price	-	BCC, Major Projects
Inspector Robert Cheeseman	-	Avon and Somerset Police

Neighbourhood Partnership Members

Robert Harris
Trevor Hillier
Barbara Kirby
Jenny Smith

Plus 7 members of the public

33. Apologies for Absence

Apologies were received from Councillor Graham Morris

34. Declarations of Interest

Agenda Item No. 14 Budget Allocation; Councillor Clark – Southern Links Children’s Centre.

35. Minutes - Stockwood, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership – 28th September 2016

It was noted that the Minutes of the Meeting held on 15th June 2016 had not been considered at the Meeting on 28th September 2016. It was agreed that these would be considered at the Meeting on 15th March 2017.

Agreed – that the Minutes are a correct record of the Meeting and can be signed by the Chair.

36. Matters Arising.

There were none.

37. Public Forum

Nothing was received.

38. N P Resident Representatives

Agreed – that Robert Harris, Trevor Hilliar, Barbara Kirby and Jenny Smith serve as Representatives.

39. South Bristol Housing Zone (including Hengrove Park Phase 2)

Abigail Stratford and Emily Price gave a presentation. This is available at www.bristol.gov.uk/sbhz

A number of issues were raised during the debate on the project including the following:

- Drainage
- The number of children and school places
- New link road
- Parking
- Road congestion and pollution
- High density housing and social problems
- Leisure/play areas and their locations
- The location of a GP Surgery

- The Rugby Club location
- Drones
- Affordable housing
- Parks maintenance
- Cycle shed provision
- CIL money for road network improvements
- Plans to reduce car usage and dependency
- Employment provision
- Metrobus users parking in the area
- Protection of the rest of the park from development

It was noted that there would be further consultation during January and February

Agreed – that the update be noted.

40. New Dementia Care and Retirement Living Facility, off New Fosseway Road

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report.

Agreed – that the report be noted.

41. Bristol City Council Corporate Strategy Consultation Update

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone. He advised that a freeze on N P spending had been introduced. He encouraged everyone to comment on the Strategy.

Agreed – that the update be noted.

42. Neighbourhood Budget Report

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

Resolved – that the report be noted.

43. Transformers Youth Fund

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

Agreed – that the N P accept £5000 Transformer’s Youth Fund and administer it through the Wellbeing Process as per the conditions within the report.

44. Budget Allocation Report – Wellbeing and S106

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone. He advised any decisions relating to funding could only be implemented if the freeze on N P expenditure is lifted.

Following a debate it was

Resolved – that the Neighbourhood Committee approves the recommendations from the Wellbeing Panel for the allocation of Wellbeing Funding totalling £6,655, subject to the freeze on N P expenditure being lifted.

45. N P Co-ordinator Report

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone. He advised that he had received an e-mail stating that the present N P structure would not be delivered after 31st March 2017.

In relation to the Proposed Community Transfer the N P confirmed its support for the proposal as it would help Bedminster Down School raise education standards in the area.

In relation to the Parks and Play Update, Ariaf Hussain stated that he would contact James Anderson to arrange a meeting with Councillors.

Agreed – (1) that the Clean Streets Campaign be noted;

(2) that the Parks and Play Update be noted;

(3) that the NP changes and developments be noted;

(4) that the Dates – 2016/2017 be noted;

(5) that the draft Dates – 2017/2018 be noted; and

(6) that the proposed Community Asset Transfer be supported.

46. Any Other Business

Inspector Robert Cheeseman introduced himself and advised he had replaced Inspector Colston a temporary basis. He advised that although dwelling burglaries and motor vehicle thefts have risen steeply, the Police addressing the issues through a number of initiatives.

47. Next N P Meeting

Wednesday 15th March 2017 at 7.00 pm, venue – Christ the Servant Church, Stockwood Road, BS14 8SP.

(Meeting ended at 9.05 pm.)

Chair



**STOCKWOOD, HENGROVE & WHITCHURCH PARK
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP
15TH MARCH 2017**

Title: Future NP Arrangements (Community Led Action & Decision Making)

Report author: Ariaf Hussain – Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Partnership notes the content of the report

Background

Bristol City Council's Full Council meeting on Tuesday 21st February agreed a one year budget that approved a series of financial savings across the City Council. These savings included an element of financial savings relating to the management of Neighbourhood Partnerships and the members of staff supporting the NP structures across the city of Bristol.

The financial savings agreed by Full Council were:

2017/18 - £500,000

2018/19 - No savings

2019/20 - £562,000

As a result of these savings the following measures have been put in place:

- A spending freeze on the **current** Wellbeing Fund
- A spending freeze on the Clean and Green Fund
- A spending freeze on local Highways schemes (not S106/CIL funded schemes)
- Staffing savings across the Neighbourhood Management Service

Interim and transitory arrangements

Over the coming months all Neighbourhood Partnerships are discussing and exploring if there is a way of sustaining and may be even building on the experiences, knowledge and community action throughout the life their respective Partnerships.

On Saturday 4th February 2017 a city-wide event hosted by the organisation

Locality brought together representatives from all fourteen Partnerships along with representatives from the voluntary and community sector. The event highlighted different models for local decision making and greater neighbourhood participation in the design and delivery of local statutory services.

Further work and conversations will explore areas such as:

- a) Using the scheduled NP or forum meetings to explore ideas, make links with groups and activists who may not be involved at present and learn from others about what they do.
- b) Developing a full picture of the assets and resources locally and working out if there's scope to make better use of them.
- c) Agreeing the things that are most important for your area.
- d) Understanding the risks.
- e) Organising networking event/s to exchange ideas & learn from each other.
- f) Advice about organisational governance – do you need a constitution? What sort of organisation would work for you?
- g) Drawing up a plan for what needs to happen to get you to where you want to be.
- h) Identifying what help and support you need to get there (this might be help with room hire costs for a given period, access to fundraising advice or having the right connection with the city council).

Devolved budgets

Work is taking place within the Council to develop a process to help make the best use of the city's existing Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), Section 106 (S106) and a reduced Wellbeing fund.

Questions for the Partnership?

1. Is there a need for residents, groups and statutory/voluntary service providers to work in partnership at a local (or ward level)?
2. Is there a desire for the current Neighbourhood Partnership to continue across all three wards?
3. Can the current Partnership or a new model/s be self-sufficient? For example, cover meeting room costs, manage publicity etc?

The future of Neighbourhood Partnerships – local decision making models for discussion

This paper sets out a number of ideas. We would like your feedback and suggestions. These are not fully worked up proposals. We understand there will be lots of questions about how things will work in practice. We are looking to develop these ideas and proposals with you. There will be time to work on the detail. During March we would like to have conversation about the broad ideas.

There will be a formal consultation which will start in May. This initial discussion is about helping us develop proposals which we can then consult on.

We would like feedback about these proposals and options by 7th April at the latest. We will be arranging a meeting with ward councillors to follow up these discussions. Please use this document to respond to each option or state your preferred option.

At the end of this document you will find some 'useful information' links where you will find some background information.

Priorities

- Retain access to some funding, especially in areas of most need
- Enable decisions about the local portion of CIL and other neighbourhood decisions to be made/informed locally
- find a mechanism that does not heavily involve paperwork for making local decisions

Options

A – Community 'Spaces'

There is a clear steer based on feedback from members that retaining something similar to a forum function is a priority. The proposal is to set aside £14k from the £271k remaining in the neighbourhood budget to support this, and for the money to be given to a nominated community organisation in the area so the community space is organised locally. Council colleagues will help where this is needed in the transition period as resources allow. Councillors will decide which community organisation will receive the funding to run the community space. This could be based on agreed criteria.

Option A1: To offer £200/£400/£600 per ward to organise 2 community events / spaces per year. This would be a universal offer across the city for every ward. Total cost £14,000 from the remaining budget. £200 would be offered to one councillor wards, £400 to two councillor wards and £600 to three councillor wards. For example, we know that St George want to keep the same boundaries and create a community partnership, this area would receive £800 to run their community spaces.

Option A2: To offer £1000 per existing NP rather than a set amount per ward. Universal offer across all NPs based on existing boundaries. Total cost £14,000.

B – Local decisions and allocation of funding

The way funding is currently devolved to members required a paperwork-heavy bureaucratic process. The important thing is for members (using feedback from local people) to inform the decisions in public on local relevant things, and for this to be something that a wider range of local people want to be part of.

C – Neighbourhood Funding

C.1 Neighbourhood budget (£271k minus £14k for community space costs and £7k for annual public event costs = £250k)

Option C1.1:

- £1,250 (one councillor ward) /£2,500 (two councillor ward) /£3,750 (three councillor ward) to be available each year to every ward that has access to less than £30k in CIL money via their community space. The money would be given to a local organisation chosen by the ward members and the idea would be that the money is then allocated via arrangements such as community soup* that engage with local people.
- Cost = up to £87.5k
- The remaining money (£169.5k+) to be distributed across the 42 LSOA in 10% most deprived (2015 data) for all areas that have less than £30k in CIL available in their community space area. For example, £4k per LSOA = Approximately £28k in Hartcliffe & Withywood. Cost = £168k.
- Total cost = up to £253k

NB CIL threshold needs to be considered so that it doesn't disadvantage wards that are working together and we don't create more back office administration. At the moment CIL is allocated by NP area – this needs more thought.

Option C 1.2

- Same as above but with amended thresholds / amounts?

S106 and CIL decision making

There is only one option being proposed – this is based on what is possible with future resources and funding. **The proposal for the future is for an annual public event to take place where all of the community spaces can come together over a wider geographical**

area (North, East/Central, South). It would be in this setting that allocation of funding takes place. In order to reduce the need for paperwork, and to allow flexibility, the decisions may not be legally devolved in the same way as they are currently, but any formal sign off would require the allocation decided at this meeting to be honoured unless there were legal implications. Formal sign off might sit with Cabinet Members, the Mayor or a combination of members and officers. This is being explored.

Cost: this will need some officer support (perhaps through democratic services using the time that is spent on current NP meetings?). There may also be venue costs. The proposal is to use the remaining £38k in the NP budget on officer support for these meetings, and allocate up to £7k a year from the £271k Neighbourhood budget for costs associated with these events.

C2: Section 106 budgets

Important context: since the introduction of CIL, the law now says that s106 must be very specific about the mitigation measure and the location, so new s106 agreements do not have much real decision making associated with them.

Option C2.1: No local allocation of remaining s106 budgets - officers / Cabinet leads in consultation with ward members prioritise and deliver works

Option C2.2:

- Allocate remaining flexible s106 budgets at the annual public event (North, East/Central, South). These will tail off towards zero over time due to the newer s106 rules.
- Remove local decision making on s106 budgets that are very specific and therefore don't have any real decision making associated with them (e.g. installing a pedestrian crossing at a set location) – these decisions to go back to officers / Cabinet leads.

Option C.2.3: Allocate/prioritise all local s106 agreements at the annual public events (North, East/Central, South).

C3: CIL budgets

The way that the local component of CIL can be spent has two main constraints. The first is that the CIL must be spent on measures to support the growth of the area, and the second is that the CIL must be spent in accordance with the wishes of the local community (i.e. the community that the development that paid the CIL is located within or near). There are no specific geographical limits to this, but it is clear that CIL cannot be spent in a way that does not relate to the wishes of the community in or near to the development that paid the CIL (for example, it would be difficult to show that money from a development in the city centre could be spent legitimately on the outskirts of the city).

However, there is a case to be made that the money can be invested more widely than the current geographical areas imposed via Neighbourhood Partnerships. For example, if there are fewer libraries or other public facilities in the future, a case could be made for spending CIL money on facilities that are some distance away from the development – further than the existing NP boundaries. The options below try to take this into account.

The method of allocation is not specified here. It could be through direct allocation at meetings, or through an application process (this would need to be done via a third party as there will be little officer resource to manage these process in the future – and may involve a percentage of the money to be paid in a fee).

Areas with Neighbourhood Development Plans (these are formal plans which are part of the Localism Act) receive an additional 10% and would expect the full 25% to benefit the plan area. It is proposed that allocation of the CIL attached to NDPs is made within the community space covering that area.

For example, CIL allocations connected with the Old Market Neighbourhood Development Plan would be made by Councillors in the community space covering Lawrence Hill.

Option C3.1: Allocate CIL spend at the annual public event (North, East/Central, South). 50% of the local element of CIL is to be spent in the community space area (ideally 2 or more wards but this will be locally determined also some areas may decide ward boundaries do not work for them) with 50% to be spent over a wider geographical area on anything that can legitimately be connected to supporting the growth of the wider area and the rules of CIL. Councillors will need to agree area wide priorities for spend.

Option C3.2: Allocate CIL spend at the annual public event (North, East/Central, South) according to member discretion and planning/legal advice. No specific geographic parameters would be set in advance, the responsibility would sit with the councillors to ensure that the CIL spend could be directly be connected to supporting the growth of the area.

Option C3.3: Allocate CIL spend in the same way as current arrangements – i.e. according to existing NP boundaries. The process for this would have to be managed locally as there is no allocated resource for managing this.

Some worked examples based on some of the NPs that have started to decide their future

(Please note the budgets are based on spend commitments as known on 1st Feb)

St George Community Partnership (2 x one councillor wards, 1 x two councillor ward)

- St George are planning to keep the current geographical boundary and form an open community partnership, independent of the council and working collaboratively with councillors and local people.

Budgets available to St George Community Partnership if the suggested options are taken

- £800 for running the community spaces
- £18,000 in CIL to spend in the Community Partnership area
- £5000 neighbourhood budget, allocated via community soup / at community space events / other allocation methods
- Access to additional funding (CIL, s106) and participation in budgeting and decision making at the annual event for East/Central area.

Bishopston, Cotham and Redland Neighbourhood Partnership (3 x two councillor wards)

- BCR are planning to keep the same geographical boundaries and build on the success of some of their recent community events and grant allocation events. Councillors and local residents are currently working together on the plans.

Budgets available to BCR if the suggested options are taken:

- £1,200 for running the community spaces
- £6,000 in CIL to spend in the Neighbourhood Partnership area
- £7,500 neighbourhood budget, allocated via community soup / at community space events / other allocation methods
- Access to additional funding (CIL, s106) and participation in budgeting and decision making at the annual event for North area.

Greater Bedminster Community Partnership (2 x two councillor wards).

- Greater Bedminster have been a strong, independent community partnership for many years who also took on the role of the Neighbourhood Partnership. They will continue to retain the community partnership.

Budgets available to Greater Bedminster Community Partnership if the suggested options are taken

- £800 for running the community spaces
- £55k in CIL to spend in the Community Partnership area
- Access to additional funding (CIL, s106) and participation in budgeting and decision making at the annual event for South area.

You can find further information about Neighbourhood Development Plans, Bristol's approach to CIL and what we know about 'deprivation' in the city by following the links below:

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/neighbourhood-planning--2>

<https://www.bristol.gov.uk/planning-and-building-regulations/community-infrastructure-levy>

<https://www.bristol.gov.uk/statistics-census-information/deprivation>

* **Community Soup** – this is based on the idea of ‘Bristol Soup’, a community-led project which support micro grants. People get together to have a light meal of soup. Everyone pays for the meal. This fund is then available to groups who present their ‘ask’ to the people gathered. The winning idea receives the finding and come back at a later date to share what happened. In Bristol this has led to groups receiving further funding and ‘in kind’ support. Crucially it minimises paperwork and is about local people deciding what’s important.

<https://bristolsoup.wixsite.com/home>

Neighbourhood Partnership transition – timeline DRAFT (1st March 2017)

This timeline is evolving and changing but it gives an idea of direction of travel and key milestones. We welcome feedback at forthcoming councillor and partnership meetings.

Action	Outcome	Timescale
<p>Transition phase 1 – up to 12 week piece of work within each np area to consider priorities and options for the way forward. Support from the neighbourhoods team could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) make links with groups/activists that may not be involved b) Have a full picture of the assets and resources c) Agree priorities. d) Understand the risks. e) City-wide networking/learning event/s. f) Organisational governance 	<p>This will result in a transition plan which will set out what communities and wanting/willing to do, where you want to be by 31st March 2018 and the help you think you will need to get there.</p>	<p>4th Feb – 28th April 2017</p>
<p>Draft proposals and options to support local decision making and resource allocation including S106 and CIL to be considered by Councillors and NPs/communities and feedback ideas and suggestions.</p>	<p>These early discussions will inform firm proposals for consultation in May. BCC receive feedback 7th April, work up firm proposals during April for consultation starting mid May.</p>	<p>Cllr meetings – Feb/March NP meetings/local discussions – March Feedback by 7th April</p>
<p>Set up 1 hr Cllr meetings every 4 weeks? (<i>What works?</i>)</p>	<p>Feedback/information sharing</p>	<p>Regular meeting</p>
<p>NP Team reduced by approximately 7 full time equivalent colleagues. Reallocation of team resource to support the transition process.</p>	<p>Reduction of officer support for NP transition process.</p>	<p>Process underway timescale depends on staff securing other roles – early part of 2017/18</p>
<p>Establish transition support group with partners.</p>	<p>Joint planning and pooling of resources so we can respond effectively to requests for support</p>	<p>March 2017</p>
<p>Discussions with equalities communities and young people (via the voice and influence groups</p>	<p>Develop ideas about how we can work together to inspire and involve diverse</p>	<p>March/April 2017 agree a way of working together.</p>

Action	Outcome	Timescale
and Youth Council) about	communities to be involved in and feel some ownership of neighbourhood conversations	
Neighbourhoods Scrutiny		31 st March 2017
<i>City wide networking event</i>	<i>Information sharing /Networking between areas. Consider feedback re decision making options/proposals Is this helpful?</i>	<i>Wk. com 3rd April TBC (2 hours evening)</i>
Transition plan complete	All NP areas will have a transition plan showing key actions and support needed between May and March 2018.	Complete by 30 th April 2017
BCC consider transition plans and with partners put together support package.	Offer of support for May 2017-March 2018.	Review plans wk. com 1 st May Respond wk com 8 th May 2017
<i>Citywide networking event –</i>	<i>Proposal of support in response to transition plans. Networking & information sharing - what would be useful?</i>	<i>Wk. com 15 May 2017</i>
Start of consultation on decision making/neighbourhood budget and s106/CIL proposals		May 2017
Final NP meetings/Introduce new community space format where possible.	Introduction of new way of working	June 2017
End of consultation	Consideration of feedback/revise and produce confirmed proposals	August 2017
Implement new arrangements		September 2017
Citywide networking event		Wk. com 11 th September
<i>SUBJECT TO CONSULTATION Introduction of new neighbourhood arrangements - annual area events: north/south/central & east</i>		<i>September/Oct 2017 –held at the same time each year.</i>
<i>SUBJECT TO CONSULTATION Community space (1) takes place</i>		<i>Commencing Dec/Jan (Timings of meetings to be locally determined)</i>
Transition phase 2 – Delivery of action plans with agreed support		May 2017 – 31 st March 2018
Wider ‘neighbourhoods’		May 2017 – 31 st

Action	Outcome	Timescale
conversation including libraries, parks and community asset transfer		March 2018



**STOCKWOOD, HENGROVE & WHITCHURCH PARK
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP
15TH MARCH 2017**

Title: Parks and Green Spaces

Report author: Ariaf Hussain – Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator

RECOMMENDATION

1. Notes the content of the report

1. Parks and Green Space Delivery Programme 2017/18

The Council is making considerable savings over the next 5 years and, as outlined in the new corporate strategy, this will have an impact on levels of investment in parks. It is proposed, therefore, that only those projects fully funded by Section 106, Community Infrastructure Levy or other forms of external funding such as charitable trusts will be included in the 2017/18 parks play and access programmes. This has significantly affected the Parks and Green Space Delivery Programme 2017/18 that had been prepared earlier this year based on NP priorities

Appendix 9a is the new Parks Delivery Programme, broken down into 3 sections;

1. Play projects that now require funding
2. Access projects that now require funding
3. Projects where funding has already been agreed by NPs (s106/CIL/other external funds)

Those schemes which have not been allocated funds by the Neighbourhood Partnership will not proceed unless alternative funding, such as s106, CIL or other forms of external funding, for them is found.

Parks Delivery Programme 201

Play - NP funding required
Source
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities

Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities

Access - NP funding required
Source
Parks - Central 2017/18
NP 2017/18
Parks 2016/17
Mary Knight 2016/17
NP 2017/18
Parks - North 2017/18
Parks - North 2017/18
Parks - North 2017/18
Parks - North 2017/18
Parks - North 2017/18
Parks - Central 2017/18
Parks - Central 2017/18
Parks 2016/17
Parks 2016/17
NP 2017/18
NP 2017/18
Parks - South 2017/18
NP 2017/18
NP 2017/18
Parks - South 2017/18
NP 2017/18
Parks - East 2017/18
NP Actions 2016/17
NP Actions 2016/17
NP 2017/18
Parks - East 2017/18
Parks - East 2017/18
NP 2017/18
Parks - North 2017/18
Parks - North 2017/18
NP Actions 2016/17
2016/17 FOG

NP Actions 2016/17
NP 2017/18
NP 2017/18
NP 2017/18
Parks - North 2017/18
Parks - Central 2017/18
Parks - Central 2017/18
Parks - Central 2017/18
NP 2017/18
NP 2017/18
NP 2017/18
NP 2017/18
Parks - South 2017/18
Parks - South 2017/18

NP already funding allocated
Source
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities
Play Priorities

Project Name / Description
Gaunts Ham Park redesign and planting programme
Newtown redesigning central planters
St Agnes Park Redesigning and planting programme
Partnership wide shrub bed maintenance Programme
High Kingsdown play ground - contribution to new playground
Noticeboards in Ashley down green - 2 noticeboards for new play area
benches for Cotham Gardens/Lovers' Walk - New accessible benches
Willmott Park top end near Bishport Ave
Ilchester Crescent open space Paths and access lanes to land at rear of Ilchester Crescent
Ilchester Crescent open space
Dundry Slopes rear of Bouchier and Blagrove allotment site
Salcombe Road space - Trees and shrubs
Redcatch Park - Entrance Way (expanded car park, disabled parking, safer pedestrian routes)
Victoria Park - Litter pickers (container for)
Redcatch Park - Entrance Way (expanded car park, disabled parking, safer pedestrian routes)
Perretts Park - Pinch gate & gravel path, survey and removal of 10m of hedge
Victoria Park - Outdoor gym equipment
Zone N, Totterdown (Bushy Park) - Seating and overall improvements (following design work)
Luckwell Park
Eastwood Farm Play Area - update play area
Arnos Court Park – Basketball Court - improve basketball court with seating
Arnos Court Park - Pump Track - seating and benches
Arnos Court Park Play Area (improvements) - update / renew playarea
Hungerford Road Play Area - lighting improvements to play area path
Hillfields Park - wheels park
Begbrook - general improvements in cluding tree planting
Fishponds Park - 2nd phase development
Eastville Park - phase 2 childrens play area
We are currently launching a survey to determine priorities - See notes
Sea Mills Rec - Community orchard / dog bins / paths
Trymside - Meadow planting
Dingle Close - refurb of tennis court & tree planting
Improvements to Emerson Square park - see comments
Stoke Park - see comments
Purdown - see comments
Muller Road Recreation Ground - see comments

Furber Rd - Play and formalise parking
Cottle Road Play Area - Repair.Renew/Repace play area
John Hall Close - Play Area within the vicinity (to meet parks standards) - new play area
Petherton Gardens Play Area – update/replace the equipment - renew play area

Project Name / Description
Castle Park
Gaunts Ham Park - Improved access
St Marys Rec, fence repairs
Lawrence Weston Moor off Kings Weston Road
NPs to confirm access requirements in March 2017
St Marys Rec
Blaise
Kings Weston Estate
Ridingleaze Shops
Henacre
Brandon Hill
Cotham gardens, St Andrews Park + Redland Green
St Andrews Park - Gates/Fencing and signs
Clifton Hill Open space - path widening
Willmott Park top end near Bishport Ave
Ilchester Crescent open space Paths and access lanes at rear.
Crox bottom
Dundry Slopes rear of Bouchier and Blagrove allotment site
Dame Emily
South liberty lane playing fiels
Victory Park - Entrance/gate way to the north of the site
Eastwood Farm
Begbrook Park - new gate
Meadowsweet - new gate at entrance & new fence
East Park - Improve access to the green space
East Park
Royate hill
NPs to confirm access requirements in March 2017
Crow Lane Open Space
Baddocks Wood
Old Sneed Park Nature Reserve access
Pathway to Scout's enclosure-Henleaze

Muller Road Rec access
Stoke Park
Purdown
Muller Road Recreation Ground
Clack Mills
Ashley Down Green
Stoke Park
Horfield Common
Troopers Hill - Improve access for wheelchair users
Dundridge Park - Increase path
Whitchurch Green - improve accessibility
St Augustines Park - Pathway repairs/improvements
Hengrove park
Hengrove mounds

Project Name / Description
St Mary's Rec, Shirehampton - new play and Youth provision & formal improvements
New play at site (to be determined) in East Lawrence Weston
New Play and formal space in Hallen Drive, Sea Mills/Coombe Dingle
Gores Marsh Park
Windmill Hill City Farm
South Street Park
Hebron Burial Ground
windmill city farm
Dame Emily
Greville Smyth Pavilion
Gores Marsh Park
South Street Park
ashton vale
windmill city farm
southville Community development association
Old Quarry Park - Sensory Graden
Stoke Lodge Sensory Garden
Installation of Playground in Old Library grounds - see comments
Colebrook Green Space - Play enhancement

Work Type	NP Area
Play	AELH
Play	AELH
Play	AELH
Play	AELH
Play	BCR
Play	BCR
Play	BCR
Play	DV
Play	DV
Play	DV
Play	DV
Play	FK&WH
Play	FK&WH
Play	FK&WH
Play	FK&WH
Play	FK&WH
Play	FK&WH
Play	FK&WH
Fencing and gates	Gbed
Play	G.Bris
Play	G.Bris
Play	G.Bris
Play	G.Bris
Play	G.Bris
Play	G.Fshpds
Play	G.Fshpds
Play	G.Fshpds
Play	G.Fshpds
Play	HB&S
Play	HSB&WOT
Play	HSB&WOT
Play	HSB&WOT
Play	H&L
Play	H&L
Play	H&L
Play	H&L

Play	StG
Play	SH&WP
Play	SH&WP
Play	SH&WP

Work Type	NP Area
Access/Roads/Tracks	AELH
Access/Roads/Tracks	AELH
Fencing / Gates	A&LW
Access/Roads/Tracks	A&LW
TBC	A&LW
Access/Roads/Tracks	A&LW
Access/Roads/Tracks	A&LW
Access/Roads/Tracks	A&LW
Access/Roads/Tracks	A&LW
Access/Roads/Tracks	A&LW
Access/Roads/Tracks	BC&R
Access/Roads/Tracks	BC&R
Fencing / Gates	BC&R
Path/s	BC&R
Access/Roads/Tracks	DV
Access/Roads/Tracks	DV
Access/Roads/Tracks	DV
Access/Roads/Tracks	DV
MUGA/Paths & entrance imprmts	Gbed
Access/Roads/Tracks	GBed
Access/Roads/Tracks	Gbris
Access/Roads/Tracks	Gbris
Fencing / Gates	GFshpnds
Fencing / Gates	GFshpnds
Access/Roads/Tracks	GFshpnds
Access/Roads/Tracks	GFshpnds
Access/Roads/Tracks	GFshpnds
TBC	HB&S
Access/Roads/Tracks	HB&S
Access/Roads/Tracks	HB&S
Access/Roads/Tracks	HSB&WOT
Access/Roads/Tracks	HSB&WOT

Access/Roads/Tracks	H&L
Access/Roads/Tracks	H&L
Access/Roads/Tracks	H&L
Access/Roads/Tracks	H&L
Access/Roads/Tracks	H&L
Access/Roads/Tracks	H&L
Access/Roads/Tracks	H&L
Access/Roads/Tracks	H&L
Access/Roads/Tracks	H&L
Access/Roads/Tracks	StG
Access/Roads/Tracks	StG
Access/Roads/Tracks	SHWP
Access/Roads/Tracks	SHWP
Access/Roads/Tracks	SHWP
Access/Roads/Tracks	SHWP

Work Type	NP Area
Play	A&LW
Play	A&LW
Play	A&LW
Play equipment	Gbed
Fencing and gates	Gbed
Benches and Play Equipment	Gbed
Wildlife conservation survey	Gbed
Garden and floral meadow	Gbed
MUGA/Paths & entrance imprmts	Gbed
Improvement work to pavilion	Gbed
play equipment for younger children	Gbed
lighting resurfacing and tree planting	Gbed
ground trampoline	Gbed
pathways improvements	Gbed
community garden improvements	Gbed
Play	HSB&WOT
Play	HSB&WOT
Play	H&L
Play	StG

Funding Source	Status
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required

	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required
	Funding required

Funding Source	Status
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required

Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required
Access Fund	Funding required

Funding Source	Status
S106	NP Funded allocated (2016)
S106	NP Funded allocated (2016)
Planning Agreement	NP Funded allocated (2016)
S106	NP Funded allocated (2016)
S106	NP Funded allocated (2016)
S106	NP Funded allocated (2016)
CIL	NP Funded allocated (2016)
S106	NP Funded allocated (2016)
S106	NP Funded allocated (2016)
S106	NP Funded allocated (2016)
S106	NP Funded allocated (2016)
S106	NP Funded allocated (2016)
CIL	NP Funded allocated (2016)
CIL	NP Funded allocated (2016)
CIL	NP Funded allocated (2016)
CIL	NP Funded allocated (2016)
S106	NP Funded allocated (2016)
S106	NP Funded allocated (2016)
S106	NP Funded allocated (2016)



**STOCKWOOD, HENGROVE & WHITCHURCH PARK
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP
15TH MARCH 2017**

Report of: Ariaf Hussain, Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator

Title: Neighbourhood Budget Report

Contact Telephone Number: 0117 922 3218
ariaf.hussain@bristol.gov.uk

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Neighbourhood Committee:

1. Notes the Budget

1. The Neighbourhood Budget is composed of the devolved funding to the Neighbourhood Partnership.

2. Devolved s106 and CIL budgets - Please see Appendix 10a for the full breakdown of s106 and Appendix 10b for the full breakdown of CiL funding devolved to the Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership

3. The table below gives a breakdown of the Neighbourhood Budget allocation at the start of April 2017 and the current expenditure and funds remaining.

Budget	2016 -17 budget	Allocation	Notes
Neighbourhood Budget	£42,863	£1,500 – Accessible toilets £1,245 – Hengrove Park Bins	Carried forward from Wellbeing, Clean and Green from previous years (£44,018) and 2016/17 Clean & Green (£1,500)
Local traffic schemes budget	£17,147		Currently allocated as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minor signs and lines - £1,643 • Local Traffic Scheme - £15,500
Wellbeing budget	£20,000	£5,290 – Q1 £2,650 – Q2	
Highways (non ring-fenced)	£32,784		Unallocated from previous years (tbc by Highways)
Unallocated funds from Narrow Estates (non ring-fenced)	£13,170		2015/16 allocation - £10,484 2016/17 allocation - £2,686
S106 budgets	£9,564.09 – no time limit £104,559.89 – time limited	£2,853.47 – Q2 (allocated to Sturminster and Stockwood Community Association) £8,000 (11/00765 / Former, Petherton Road Infant School, Hengrove / ZCD...A91) – Q1	See Devolved s106 sheet: appendix 12a (some of this was allocated in 2014/15 and 2015/16)
Community Infrastructure Levy	£20,015.44		See CIL sheet: appendix 12b

Legal Information

When councillors decide how the wellbeing fund is spent they should have due regard to the public sector equality duty that applies to all public bodies. This duty is contained in the Equality Act 2010 and came in to force on 6 April 2011. It replaces previous equality duties under the Sex Discrimination, Race Relations and Disability Discrimination Acts.

The duty means that councillors are required to have due regard to the need to:

- Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited under the Act
- Promote equality of opportunity between different groups
- Foster good relations between people from different groups

The duty covers the following protected characteristics:

- Disability, Sexual orientation, Age, Gender reassignment, Religion and belief; Sex, Race, Pregnancy and maternity.

It also applies to marriage and civil partnership, but only in respect of the requirement to eliminate discrimination and harassment.

Those rows highlighted in red denote monies that need to be prioritised due to time restrictions on spending.

Hengrove, Stockwood and Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership				
Devolved Section 106 monies held as at 31 December 2016				
Permission / Site / S106 Code	Contact Officer	Current Contribution Value	Date to be Spent / Committed by	Purpose of Contribution
Parks				
14/00417 / 82 to 84 Totshill Drive, Hartcliffe / ...SC17	Richard Ennion (Horticultural Services Manager)	£2,325.19	20 Oct 20	The provision and maintenance of Tree Planting either on-street or in public open space within a one mile radius of Totshill Drive
14/00416 / 83 to 85 Shortwood Road, Hartcliffe / ...SC18	Richard Ennion (Horticultural Services Manager)	£1,555.60	20 Oct 20	The provision and maintenance of Tree Planting either on-street or in public open space within a one mile radius of Shortwood Road
11/00765 / Former, Petherton Road Infant School, Hengrove / ZCD...A94	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£29,709.80	22 Feb 18	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of the former Petherton Road Infant School Site
11/00845 / Knowle Golf Club, Fairway, Brislington /SB97	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£9,564.09	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Fairway
Transport				
11/00765 / Former, Petherton Road Infant School, Hengrove / ZCD...A95	Ed Plowden (Sustainable Transport Manager)	£41,194.98	22 Feb 18	The provision of public transport improvements in the vicinity of the site, comprising £10,000 to GBBN measures on Wells Road, and the balance towards improvements to bus stops on Cadogan Road, Hengrove Lane and Walsh Avenue
08/03532 / Hengrove Leisure Centre, Hengrove Park, Hengrove / ZCD...896	Gareth Vaughan-Williams (Highway Services Manager)	£3,796.95	8 Apr 15	The provision of directional signage to be located on the Hengrove Park site
Other				
06/03970 / Tibbott Walk, Stockwood / ZCD...562	John Bos (Community Buildings Officer)	£2,863.78	No Limit	The provision of alternative community facilities in the Stockwood Area (Funding applied to Sturminster and Stockwood Community Association. Funding Form completed and awaiting drawdown)
11/00765 / Former, Petherton Road Infant School, Hengrove / ZCD...A91	John Bos (Community Buildings Officer)	£6,732.88	22 Feb 18	The provision of improvements to the Hengrove Community Centre, or a new community facility as decided by the local Neighbourhood Partnership
07/05332 / Community Hospital, Hengrove Park, Hengrove / ZCD...881	Vicky Smith (City Design Team Manager)	£19,244.49	10 Feb 15	The provision of Public Art in the vicinity of the development

Hengrove, Stockwood & Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership

CIL monies held - 31 December 2016

Monies to be spent on measures to support the development of the Neighbourhood
Partnership's area, by funding:

- a) the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or
b) anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on
an area

Date Received	Application	Site Address	Amount
07/05/15	14/01962	Former Man in Space PH, Pynne Road, Stockwood (1)	£1,801.31
03/09/15	14/03719	Premier Inn, Hengrove Park, Hengrove (1)	£2,369.34
17/09/15	14/01962	Former Man in Space PH, Pynne Road, Stockwood (2)	£1,801.31
22/09/15	13/05883	Former Open Space, Loxton Square, Hengrove	£1,523.57
21/01/16	14/03719	Premier Inn, Hengrove Park, Hengrove (2)	£2,369.34
11/02/16	15/05709	620 Wells Road, Hengrove	£1,192.63
17/03/16	14/01962	Former Man in Space PH, Pynne Road, Stockwood (3)	£2,701.96
14/07/16	14/03719	Premier Inn, Hengrove Park, Hengrove (3)	£3,554.02
22/09/16	14/01962	Former Man in Space PH, Pynne Road, Stockwood (4)	£2,701.96
16/12/16	13/05783	7 Eastcote Park, Hengrove	£658.63
Total			£20,674.07



**STOCKWOOD, HENGROVE & WHITCHURCH PARK
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP
15TH MARCH 2017**

Report of: Ariaf Hussain, Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator

Title: NP Budget Request – TRANSFORMERS Fund

Contact Telephone Number: 0117 922 3218
ariaf.hussain@bristol.gov.uk

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Neighbourhood Committee approves the recommendations from the Brislington Wellbeing panel for the allocation of Wellbeing Funding.

Background

1. Avon and Somerset Police Community Trust have been managing the Transformers fund, a small grant aimed at working with young people and delivering youth activities. The Trust, have found that it has been difficult to attract enough projects, and have agreed to devolve £5000 of the fund to each of Bristol’s Neighbourhood Partnerships.
2. The fund would be administered through the Well Being Small Grants Process, and can be allocated from September this year. All funds must be allocated by March 2017 and all projects must be finished by February 2018. Monitoring must be submitted by applicants one month after the end of their project the last monitoring should be received no later than 20th March 2018. The Greater Brislington Neighbourhood Partnership has a Wellbeing Panel that meets to discuss funding applications received and to make recommendations to the Neighbourhood Committee for allocating the funding. The panel is made up of local residents and councillors.
3. The panel met on the 21st February 2017 and made the recommendations contained in the table below:

	Applicant	Amount applied	Purpose	Amount of grant

		for		recommended
1	Counterslip	£2,000	Youth Weekend	Not recommended
2	Oasis John Williams	£2,000	Hub Resturant	Not recommended
3	St Silas BS14	£1,200	Sign Writing	£1,200
4	Hartcliffe Farm	£1,500	Growing Together	£1,050
5	LPW - Millennium	£3,150	Adventure Playspace	£2,750
6	Avon Wildlife Trust - Stockwood	£1,000	Scout and About	Not recommended
7	Cosmopolitan - Hengrove	£3,000	Cultural & Heritage Activities	Not recommended
	TOTAL		Total Recommended	£5,000
			Remaining Budget	£0

Legal Information

When councillors decide how the wellbeing fund is spent they should have due regard to the public sector equality duty that applies to all public bodies. This duty is contained in the Equality Act 2010 and came in to force on 6 April 2011. It replaces previous equality duties under the Sex Discrimination, Race Relations and Disability Discrimination Acts.

The duty means that councillors are required to have due regard to the need to:

- Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited under the Act
- Promote equality of opportunity between different groups
- Foster good relations between people from different groups

The duty covers the following protected characteristics:

- Disability, Sexual orientation, Age, Gender reassignment, Religion and belief; Sex, Race, Pregnancy and maternity.

It also applies to marriage and civil partnership, but only in respect of the requirement to eliminate discrimination and harassment.



**STOCKWOOD, HENGROVE & WHITCHURCH PARK
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP
15TH MARCH 2017**

Title: Draft Commissioning Plan for future Targeted Youth Services

Report author: Ariaf Hussain – Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Partnership notes the content of the report

Background

The consultation on the Draft Commissioning Plan for future Targeted Youth Services, is now open until 27th April 2017.

The document, along with other related documents are available on the Bristol City Council website (please see Related Documents section at the bottom of the webpage): <https://bristol.citizenspace.com/people/targeted-youth-services-commissioning-plan/>

Please book to attend the consultation events at the links below:

Young Person's event: 29th March, 5pm to 7.30pm at City Hall.
<https://www.eventbrite.co.uk/e/have-your-say-bcc-targeted-youth-services-young-persons-event-tickets-31634514685>

In addition to the consultation events you can also have your say via our online survey which is on the BCC consultation hub:
<http://www.smartsurvey.co.uk/s/TargetedYouthServices/> or through email byl@bristol.gov.uk .

Further information on the commissioning plan can be found in Appendix 12a

Targeted Youth Services

Draft Commissioning Plan Consultation

Consultation period: 2nd February to 27th April
2017

Summary presentation for Neighbourhood
Partnerships

Current contract BYL

- 1 BCC currently spends £4.2 million a year on open access youth and play services, targeted group, 1:1 and online services. Current contract is called Bristol Youth Links (BYL) and it runs until 2018.
- 1 There are Seven geographical area based contracts and two citywide contracts aimed at children and young people aged 8-19 years (up to 25 years for young people with learning difficulties and disabilities).
- 1 Citywide Specialist services; counselling, drug and alcohol, healthy relationships, preventing homelessness, and specific services for LGBTQ+ young people and for deaf young people.
- 1 The number of individuals worked with during April 2015 – March 2016 is approximately 7,360.
- 1 Young People gain outcomes in soft skills as well as hard outcomes such as 623 yp getting back into education, employment and training 2015-16

What the new model has considered

A budget reduction of £1.2 million

Link to the 'Our journey Together' priorities put together by CYP & youth sector (being healthy, safe, learning skills)

Children Young People and Families Strategy provide the overarching outcomes (safe, healthy, involved, achieving)

Need for more targeted services on areas of deprivation and vulnerable children and young people – tackling inequality in the city

How the contract will deliver statutory duties:

- 1 Those not in education employment or training (NEET) – tracking and reporting back nationally
- 1 Access to and advertising positive activities

Proposed investment

Annual total spend	Spend over 3 years	Spend over 5 years
£3,314,000	£9,942,000	£16,570,000

Investment in each of the elements	Proposed spend
Area Youth Services	£2,167,800
Drugs & Alcohol Support	£213,500
Healthy Relationship Support	£213,500
Online Youth Service	£120,000
NEET Co-ordination and Tracking	£150,000
Supporting the youth sector	£249,200
Total	£3,114,000

Investment elsewhere (not in scope)	Proposed Spend
Mental Health Support (counselling)	£75,000
Young People's Housing and Independence Pathway	£50,000
YOT intensive diversionary activities	£75,000
Total	£200,000

People

Strategic Commissioning (Children)

Slide 4



Element one: Tracking (£150,000)

The draft proposal is for a contract which checks (tracks) that young people are still in education, employment or training until they are age 18.

This is one of our statutory duties, meaning we have to do it by law.

An organisation might do this by phoning or contacting young people through social media.

When they have found them, if they are not in education, employment or training they will ask the local youth support services to work with them to give advice and support to get them back into education, employment and training.

The tracking organisation will use a database that links with the council so they can gather all the statistics needed for central government reports.

Element two: Youth Sector Support (£249,500)

Feedback in engagement phase was there was not enough support for youth sector organisations.

The draft proposal is to set aside a sum of money which organisations could access to support proactive partnership working via a citywide contract.

This money could be used to help organisations to sustain a universal offer of youth and play sessions. This could help lots more children and young people attend projects across the city.

The organisation who manages the money will need to work with other organisations across the city to do this.

It might be seed funding, or providing opportunities for the sector to get together for training and development.

Element three: Online Youth Service (£120,000)

To fulfil our statutory duties to provide access to and publicise positive activities we propose and online service.

Young people have told us they access information via social media, so a clear link with social media should be included.

We also know that the best way of delivering it is to ensure children and young people create the design of it, so it is kept up to date and people keep coming back to use it.

We propose to give the money to an organisation who will work with children and young people to either continue the Rife and go places to play websites or develop something new to do this.

Element four: Specific Support Drug/alcohol and Sexual Health Services (£427,000)

The draft proposal is to include 2 elements of specific support: sexual health and drug and alcohol services.

The specific support services will focus on the time limited 1:1 work with young people identified as needing support.

Young people will be referred into the services via schools, colleges, Early Help etc.

Element five: Local Area Targeted Youth Services (£2,167,800)

The draft proposal is for 3 local area contracts (North, South, East Central) or one citywide contract

The funding will need to target young people living in areas of deprivation, so different areas of the city will be allocated more or less funding according to the index of deprivation.

Services will need to:

Receive referrals to work with young people from social care, Youth Offending etc.

Work with young people not in education employment or training (NEET)

Link in with the multi agency co-ordinating hubs to develop integrated working (these are currently being developed)

Be inclusive and accessible to children and young people with additional needs or vulnerabilities

Consultation feedback

Please give us your collective or individual feedback via:

Online questionnaire:

<https://bristol.citizenspace.com/people/targeted-youth-services-commissioning-plan/>

Email to byl@bristol.gov.uk

There will be a young person's version and questions developed by Young Commissioners